

Lifts of automorphisms of vertex operator algebras in simple current extensions

Hiroki SHIMAKURA*

*Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University
Kita 10, Nishi 8, Kita-Ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido, 060-0810, Japan.
e-mail: shimakura@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp*

Abstract

In this article, we study isomorphisms between simple current extensions of a simple VOA. For example, we classify the isomorphism classes of simple current extensions of the VOAs $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}^+$ and $V_{\Lambda_{16}}^+$, where Λ_{16} is the Barnes-Wall lattice of rank 16. Moreover, we consider the same simple current extension and describe the normalizer of the abelian automorphism group associated with this extension. In particular, we regard the moonshine module $V^\natural$ as simple current extensions of five subVOAs V_L^+ for 2-elementary totally even lattices L , and describe corresponding five normalizers of elementary abelian 2-group in the automorphism group of $V^\natural$ in terms of V_L^+ . By using this description, we show that three of them form a Monster amalgam.

Introduction

In the study of vertex operator algebras (VOAs), the automorphism groups play important roles to develop relations between VOAs and other areas. One of the most important examples of VOAs is the moonshine module constructed in [FLM], and its automorphism group is isomorphic to the Monster. By using this realization of the Monster, Borcherds proved the famous moonshine conjecture, an interesting correspondence between the conjugacy classes of the Monster and Hauptmodule. So it is important to determine the automorphism group of a VOA and to study groups from the view point of VOAs. However there are relatively few known constructions of automorphisms of VOAs such as exponentials of the zero-th product of elements of V_1 , symmetries of the fusion rules of

*The author was supported by the COE grant of Hokkaido University and JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 18740001.

subVOAs (cf. [Mi]) and lifts of automorphisms of combinatorial objects (cf. [FLM]). Hence we would like to find more automorphisms of VOAs.

A simple current extension is a typical method of constructing new VOAs. In some cases, this construction extends symmetries of VOAs. For example, the moonshine module $V^\natural$ is a simple current extension of the VOA V_Λ^+ associated with the Leech lattice Λ , and the automorphism group of $V^\natural$ is the Monster though that of V_Λ^+ is induced from Λ ([Sh2]). So, the study of the automorphism groups of simple current extensions is important to find large symmetries of VOAs.

In this article, we construct some isomorphisms between simple current extensions of a simple VOA $V(0)$ by using automorphisms of $V(0)$. Moreover, we consider the same simple current extension V of $V(0)$ graded by an abelian group A and describe the normalizer of A^* in the automorphism group of V . So we obtain automorphisms of V induced from $V(0)$. As applications, we obtain two results. One is the classification of the isomorphism classes of simple current extensions of V_L^+ when L is isomorphic to $\sqrt{2}E_8$ or Λ_{16} . Another is the description of some normalizers in the automorphism group of $V^\natural$ of elementary abelian 2-subgroups in terms of V_L^+ . Furthermore, using our description, we show that three normalizers form a Monster amalgam.

Let us explain our method. First, we construct isomorphisms between simple current extensions, which generalizes the results in [DM2] on the uniqueness of the VOA structure of a simple current extension. Consider a simple VOA $V(0)$ and its simple current extensions V and V' . When $V(0)$ has an automorphism which maps the isomorphism classes of irreducible $V(0)$ -modules contained in V to those contained in V' , we show that it extends to an isomorphism between V and V' . So, by using automorphisms of $V(0)$, we obtain many isomorphisms between simple current extensions of $V(0)$. In [Sh2, Sh3], the automorphism group of the VOA V_L^+ is studied by using its action on the isomorphism classes of irreducible V_L^+ -modules. In particular, when L is isomorphic to $\sqrt{2}E_8$ or Λ_{16} , V_L^+ has large symmetries associated with orthogonal groups. Applying our results to $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}^+$ and $V_{\Lambda_{16}}^+$, we can classify the isomorphism classes of these simple current extensions.

Next, we consider automorphisms of a simple current extension $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha)$ of a simple VOA $V(0)$ graded by an abelian group A . Then applying the results to the same simple current extension, we obtain lifts of automorphisms of $V(0)$ preserving the set of components in the decomposition of V into irreducible $V(0)$ -modules. More precisely, the normalizer $N_{\text{Aut}(V)}(A^*)$ of A^* in the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(V)$ of V is obtained by lifts of the automorphisms of $V(0)$ preserving the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $V(0)$ -modules $V(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in A$. By similar arguments, the centralizer $C_{\text{Aut}(V)}(A^*)$ is described in terms of the automorphism group of $V(0)$.

As an application, we consider some subVOAs of the moonshine module $V^\natural$ isomorphic to the VOA V_L^+ associated with a 2-elementary totally even full sublattice L , which is an even lattice L such that the dual lattice L^* is a sublattice of $L/2$ and $\sqrt{2}L^*$ is even. Then $V^\natural$ is a simple current extension of V_L^+ graded by an elementary abelian 2-group. By using the description of $\text{Aut}(V_L^+)$ in [Sh2, Sh3], the normalizer and centralizer of the associated 2-group in $\text{Aut}(V^\natural)$ are described. In particular, we consider five subVOAs

of $V^\natural$ isomorphic to V_L^+ or $V_{L_1}^+ \otimes V_{L_2}^+$ for certain 2-elementary totally even lattices L , $L_1 \oplus L_2$, and describe the normalizers of corresponding elementary abelian group of order 2^i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 10$) in $\text{Aut}(V^\natural)$. Moreover, by using these descriptions, we check that three of them satisfy the axiom of a Monster amalgam. This shows that the Monster acts faithfully on $V^\natural$ without using the structure of the Griess algebra of $V^\natural$.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Section 1, we recall some definitions and facts necessary in this paper. In Section 2, we study isomorphisms between simple current extensions of VOAs. In Section 3, we determine the isomorphism classes of simple current extensions of $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}^+$ and $V_{\Lambda_{16}}^+$ by using the results in Section 2. In Section 4, we describe the normalizers in the automorphism group of $V^\natural$ of some elementary abelian 2-groups of order 2^i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 10$) in terms of automorphism groups of V_L^+ . Moreover we show that the normalizers of the elementary abelian 2-subgroups of order 2, 2^2 and 2^3 form a Monster amalgam.

Throughout this paper, we will work over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers unless otherwise stated. We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$ the set of integers and by $\mathbb{Z}_p$ the ring of integers modulo p . We often identify $\mathbb{Z}_2$ with the field $\mathbb{F}_2$ of two elements. For a group G and its subgroup H , $N_G(H)$ and $C_G(H)$ denote the normalizer and centralizer of H in G respectively. Let Ω_n denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We view the power set $\mathcal{P}(\Omega_n)$ of Ω_n as an n -dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$ naturally. For a subset U of an n -dimensional vector space $\mathbb{R}^n$ over the real field $\mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$, let U_m denote the set of vectors in U of norm m . A sublattice U of a lattice L is called *full* if the ranks of U and L are the same. A subVOA $V(0)$ of V is called *full* if the Virasoro elements of $V(0)$ and V are the same. We often identify modules of a VOA with their respective isomorphism classes.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Professor Atsushi Matsuo for giving helpful advice. He also thanks Professor Satoshi Yoshiara and Professor Alexander Anatolievich Ivanov for useful comments from the viewpoint of finite group theory.

1 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall or give some definitions and facts necessary in this paper. For details of the axiom of vertex operator algebras, see [Bo, FLM].

1.1 Simple current extension

We start by recalling simple current extensions. Let $V(0)$ be a simple VOA. An irreducible $V(0)$ -module M^1 is called a *simple current* if for any irreducible V -module M^2 , there exists an irreducible $V(0)$ -module M^3 such that the fusion rule $M^1 \times M^2 = M^3$ holds. A simple VOA V is called a *simple current extension* of $V(0)$ if V is a direct sum of inequivalent simple current irreducible $V(0)$ -modules graded by an abelian group A , namely $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha)$ and the fusion rule $V(\alpha) \times V(\beta) = V(\alpha + \beta)$ holds for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$. So we

often denote $V = \oplus_{W \in S_V} W$, where S_V is the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $V(0)$ -modules $V(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in A$.

In this subsection, let $V(0)$ be a simple VOA satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $V(0)$ has finitely many irreducible modules.
- (b) Any irreducible $V(0)$ -module is a simple current.
- (c) The associativity of the fusion rules of $V(0)$ holds.

We study a simple VOA V containing $V(0)$ as a full subVOA. Let S_0 be the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible $V(0)$ -modules. Then the following proposition holds.

Proposition 1.1. (1) S_0 is a finite abelian group under the fusion rules.

- (2) Suppose that V is a direct sum of irreducible $V(0)$ -modules. Then V is a simple current extension of $V(0)$.

Proof. The property (b) shows that $\times$ is a binary operation on S_0 . Let us show that $S_0 = (S_0, \times)$ is a finite abelian group. It is obvious that the isomorphism class of $V(0)$ is the identity element. The properties (a) and (c) show that S_0 is finite and associative respectively. For an irreducible module M of a VOA let M' be the contragredient module of M (cf. [FHL]). Then the fusion rule $M \times M' = V(0)'$ holds. Moreover $V(0)' \times N' = V(0)$, where $N = V(0)' \times V(0)'$. Hence $M \times (M' \times N') = V(0)$. This shows that any element of S_0 has its inverse. By Proposition 5.4.7 in [FHL], the fusion rules are commutative, so S_0 is abelian. Hence we obtain (1).

By the assumption of (2), $V = \oplus_{W \in S_0} \mu_W W$ as $V(0)$ -modules, where μ_W is the multiplicity. The simplicity of V shows that $\mu_{V(0)} = 1$. Set $S_1 = \{W \in S_0 \mid \mu_W \neq 0\}$ and let $\tilde{S}_1$ be a subgroup of S_0 generated by S_1 . Then the abelian group $\tilde{S}_1^*$ of irreducible characters of $\tilde{S}_1$ acts faithfully on V as automorphisms of a VOA. Since $V(0)$ is a full subVOA of V , $V(0)$ is the fixed points of $\tilde{S}_1^*$. By [DM1] $\mu_W \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\tilde{S}_1 = S_1$, which shows (2). $\square$

Remark 1.2. If $V(0)$ is rational then any VOA V containing $V(0)$ as a full subVOA satisfies the assumption of (2).

In the next subsection, we give examples of VOAs satisfying (a)-(c).

1.2 V_L^+ for a 2-elementary totally even lattice

Let L be a 2-elementary totally even lattice of rank n , namely the dual lattice $L^* = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \mid \langle \alpha, L \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a sublattice of $L/2$ and both L and $\sqrt{2}L^*$ are even, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a positive-definite symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$. In this subsection, we review the properties of the VOA V_L^+ .

Let $\hat{L}$ be the central extension of L by $\langle \kappa_L \mid \kappa_L^2 = 1 \rangle$

$$1 \rightarrow \langle \kappa_L \rangle \rightarrow \hat{L} \twoheadrightarrow L \rightarrow 1$$

such that $[a, b] = \kappa_L^{\langle \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rangle}$ for $a, b \in \hat{L}$. Let θ_L be the automorphism of $\hat{L}$ defined by $\theta_L(a) = a^{-1} \kappa_L^{\langle \bar{a}, \bar{a} \rangle/2}$, $a \in \hat{L}$. Set $K_L = \{a^{-1} \theta_L(a) \mid a \in \hat{L}\}$. Then K_L is normal; Consider $\hat{L}/K_L$. Let T be an irreducible $\hat{L}/K_L$ -module on which $\kappa_L K_L$ acts by -1 . Since L is 2-elementary totally even, the center $Z(\hat{L}/K_L)$ of $\hat{L}/K_L$ is isomorphic to $2L^*/2L \times \langle \kappa_L K_L \rangle$. By Theorem 5.5.1 in [FLM] T is characterized by an irreducible character of $2L^*/2L$. For any irreducible character χ of $2L^*/2L$, there exists a unique element $\lambda + L$ in L^*/L such that $\chi(\mu) = (-1)^{\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle}$ for all $\mu \in 2L^*/2L$. We denote such an irreducible character by χ_λ and the corresponding irreducible $\hat{L}/K_L$ -module by T_{χ_λ} .

Let V_L denote the VOA associated with L (cf. [Bo, FLM]). Let θ_{V_L} be an involution of V_L induced by θ_L . Then $V_L^+ = \{v \in V_L \mid \theta_{V_L}(v) = v\}$ is a subVOA of V_L .

Applying the results of [DN, AD] to our case, we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 1.3. [DN, AD] *Let L be a 2-elementary totally even lattice. Then any irreducible V_L^+ -module is isomorphic to one of $V_{\lambda+L}^\pm$ and $V_L^{T_{\chi_\lambda}, \pm}$ ($\lambda \in L^*/L$). In particular, V_L^+ has exactly 2^{m+2} non-isomorphic irreducible modules, where $m = |L^*/L|$.*

In this paper, we use the following notation for the isomorphism classes of irreducible V_L^+ -modules; Let $[\lambda]^\pm$ and $[\chi_\lambda]^\pm$ denote the isomorphism classes of $V_{\lambda+L}^\pm$ and $V_L^{T_{\chi_\lambda}, \pm}$ for $\lambda \in L^*/L$ respectively.

Note 1.4. The irreducible V_L^+ -modules $V_L^{T_{\chi_\lambda}, \pm}$ are the ± 1 -eigenspaces of the involution induced from the identity map on T_{χ_λ} . This notation is different from that of [FLM].

The fusion rules of V_L^+ are explicitly described in [Ab, ADL]. In particular, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 1.5. [Ab, ADL] *Let L be a 2-elementary totally even lattice. Then any irreducible V_L^+ -module is a simple current.*

So, we can apply the result in the previous section to V_L^+ by the following lemma.

Lemma 1.6. *Let L be a 2-elementary totally even lattice. Then V_L^+ satisfies (a)-(c).*

Proof. Proposition 1.3 and 1.5 shows that V_L^+ satisfies (a) and (b). By Theorem 5.18 of [ADL], the fusion rules of V_L^+ are associative, so V_L^+ satisfies (c). $\square$

We denote by S_L the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible V_L^+ -modules. Then S_L forms an elementary abelian 2-group under the fusion rules $\times$ by [Ab, ADL] and Proposition 1.1. We often view S_L as an $(m+2)$ -dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$, where $m = |L^*/L|$.

Proposition 1.7. [Sh2] *Suppose that the rank of L is 8 or 16. Then the following map q_L from S_L to $\mathbb{F}_2$ is a non-singular quadratic form on S_L :*

$$q_L(W) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \dim_*(W) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]], \\ 1 & \text{if } \dim_*(W) \in q^{1/2}\mathbb{Z}[[q]], \end{cases}$$

where $\dim_*(W) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Q}} (\dim M_j) q^j$ for a representative $M = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Q}} M_j$ of W .

Finally, we summarize some facts on the automorphism group of V_L^+ . Let $O(L)$ denote the group of all linear automorphisms of L preserving the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For an automorphism g of $\hat{L}$, let $\bar{g}$ denote the linear automorphism of L defined by $\bar{g}(\bar{a}) = \overline{g(a)}$, $a \in \hat{L}$. Set $O(\hat{L}) = \{g \in \text{Aut}(\hat{L}) \mid \bar{g} \in O(L)\}$. For $\beta \in L^*/2L^*$, let f_β denote the group homomorphism from L to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ given by

$$f_\beta : \gamma \mapsto \langle \beta, \gamma \rangle \pmod{2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Then $\text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \{f_\beta \mid \beta \in L^*/2L^*\}$. We regard f_β as an automorphism of $\hat{L}$ as follows:

$$f_\beta : a \mapsto \kappa_L^{f_\beta(\bar{a})} a.$$

Hence we obtain an embedding $\text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \hookrightarrow O(\hat{L})$. By [FLM, Proposition 5.4.1], the following sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \hookrightarrow O(\hat{L}) \twoheadrightarrow O(L) \rightarrow 1 \quad (1.2)$$

is exact. By [FLM, Corollary 10.4.8], $O(\hat{L})$ acts faithfully on V_L . Moreover, $O(\hat{L})/\langle \theta_{V_L} \rangle$ acts faithfully on V_L^+ .

On the other hand, in Chapter 11 of [FLM] some automorphisms of V_L^+ are explicitly constructed. For Construction B, a procedure making lattices from codes, see (1.4) in Section 1.4.

Proposition 1.8. [FLM] *Let L be an even lattice obtained by Construction B. Then V_L^+ has automorphisms not belonging to $O(\hat{L})/\langle \theta_{V_L} \rangle$.*

In [Sh2, Sh3], the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(V_L^+)$ of V_L^+ is studied by using its action on S_L . We collect results necessary in this paper.

Proposition 1.9. [Sh2, Sh3] *Let L be a 2-elementary totally even lattice of rank n without roots. Then the following hold:*

- (1) $\text{Aut}(V_L^+) \cong O(\hat{L})/\langle \theta_{V_L} \rangle$ if and only if $\{\lambda + L \in L^*/L \mid \#(\lambda + L)_2 = 2n\} = \emptyset$. In particular, if L is unimodular then $\text{Aut}(V_L^+) \cong O(\hat{L})/\langle \theta_{V_L} \rangle$.
- (2) For $g \in O(\hat{L})/\langle \theta_{V_L} \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{[\lambda]^\pm \circ g\} &= \{[\bar{g}^{-1}(\lambda)]^\pm\}, \lambda \in L^*/L, \\ [0]^\pm \circ g &= [0]^\pm. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover for $f_\beta \in \text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} [\lambda]^\pm \circ f_\beta &= \begin{cases} [\lambda]^\pm & \text{if } \langle \beta, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ [\lambda]^\mp & \text{if } \langle \beta, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2, \end{cases} \\ [\chi_\lambda]^\pm \circ f_\beta &= [\chi_{\lambda+\beta}]^\pm. \end{aligned}$$

- (3) $\text{Aut}(V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}^+) \cong O^+(10, 2)$ and $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_{16}}^+) \cong 2^{16} \cdot \Omega^+(10, 2)$, where Λ_{16} is the Barnes-Wall lattice of rank 16.
- (4) Suppose that $L \cong \sqrt{2}E_8$ or Λ_{16} . Then S_L is decomposed into three-orbits under the action of $\text{Aut}(V_L^+)$. Moreover, $\text{Aut}(V_L^+)/O_2(\text{Aut}(V_L^+))$ acts faithfully on S_L .

1.3 Moonshine module

In this subsection, we recall some facts on the moonshine module from [FLM].

Let Λ be the Leech lattice. Since Λ is unimodular, $\hat{\Lambda}/K_\Lambda$ is isomorphic to the extraspecial 2-group 2_+^{1+24} . Hence $\hat{\Lambda}/K_\Lambda$ has a unique faithful irreducible module T on which the central element $\kappa_\Lambda K_\Lambda$ acts by -1 . The moonshine module $V^\natural$ is defined by $V^\natural = V_\Lambda^+ \oplus V_\Lambda^{T,-}$.

Theorem 1.10. [FLM] *The V_Λ^+ -module $V^\natural$ has a unique vertex operator algebra structure up to isomorphism extending its V_Λ^+ -module structure.*

Let $z_{V^\natural}$ denote the automorphism of $V^\natural$ which acts by 1 on V_Λ^+ and by -1 on $V_\Lambda^{T,-}$. In Section 10.3 of [FLM], it was proved that $\text{Aut}(V^\natural)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to a non-split central extension C of $O(\hat{\Lambda})/\langle \theta_{V_\Lambda} \rangle$ by $\langle z_{V^\natural} \rangle$. By the sequence (1.2), we have a canonical map $^-$ from C to $O(\Lambda)/\langle -1 \rangle$. Its kernel is a central extension of $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ by $\langle z_{V^\natural} \rangle$, which is isomorphic to $\hat{\Lambda}/K_\Lambda$. So, the sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \hat{\Lambda}/K_\Lambda \hookrightarrow C \twoheadrightarrow O(\Lambda)/\langle -1 \rangle \rightarrow 1 \quad (1.3)$$

is exact.

Note 1.11. We will show that C is the centralizer of $z_{V^\natural}$ in $\text{Aut}(V^\natural)$ later without properties of the Monster.

In Chapter 11 and 12 in [FLM], an automorphism σ of $V^\natural$ not belonging to C was explicitly constructed. By the fact that the degree 2 subspace of $V^\natural$ has the algebraic structure given by [Gr], the following theorem was shown.

Theorem 1.12. [FLM] *The automorphism group of $V^\natural$ is generated by C and σ , and it is isomorphic to the Monster.*

Note 1.13. In this paper we study symmetries of $V^\natural$ without Theorem 1.12.

1.4 Sublattices of the Leech lattice

In this subsection, we study some sublattices of the Leech lattice and their automorphism groups. For the precise definitions of the Golay code and the Leech lattice, see [CS].

We set $\Omega_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We often identify the power set $\mathcal{P}(\Omega_n)$ of Ω_n with an n -dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the natural inner product on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega_n)$.

Let $\{\alpha_j \mid j \in \Omega_n\}$ be an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ of norm 2. For a linear binary code $\mathcal{C}$ of length n , the lattice

$$L_B(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_c + \sum_{j, k \in \Omega_n} \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_j + \alpha_k) \quad (1.4)$$

is said to be *the lattice obtained by Construction B from $\mathcal{C}$* , where $\alpha_c = \sum_{j \in c} \alpha_j$.

Let G_{24} be the extended Golay code, which is the unique 12-dimensional doubly even binary code of length 24 with minimum weight 8. A codeword of G_{24} of weight 8 is called an *octad*. A partition $\{P_l \mid l \in \Omega_6\}$ of Ω is called a *sextet* if $|P_l| = 4$ and $P_l \cup P_k$ is an octad for all $l \neq k$.

Let Λ be the Leech lattice, which is the unique positive-definite even unimodular lattice of rank 24 without roots up to isomorphism. The automorphism group of Λ is denoted by Co_0 and its quotient by $\langle -1 \rangle$ is denoted by Co_1 . We set $\Omega = \Omega_{24}$. Then the lattice

$$\begin{aligned} & L_B(G_{24}) + \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{\alpha_\Omega}{4} - \alpha_1\right) \\ &= \sum_{c \in G_{24}} \mathbb{Z} \frac{\alpha_c}{2} + \sum_{j, k \in \Omega} \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_j + \alpha_k) + \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{\alpha_\Omega}{4} - \alpha_1\right). \end{aligned}$$

is an even unimodular lattice of rank 24 without roots, which is the Leech lattice Λ . We use this expression of the Leech lattice in this paper.

Let us consider 4 full sublattices $\Lambda(i)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 5$) of Λ . We set $\Lambda(1) = \Lambda$ and $\Lambda(2) = L_B(G_{24})$. We fix a sextet $\{P_l^1 \mid l \in \Omega_6\}$. Set $C(3) = \{c \in G_{24} \mid (P_1^1, c) = 0\}$ and $\Lambda(3) = L_B(C(3))$. We denote $O_s = P_{2s}^1 \cup P_{2s-1}^1$ for $s = 1, 2, 3$. Let $\{P_l^j \mid l \in \Omega_6\}$ ($j = 2, 3$) be distinct sextets such that $P_{2s-1}^j, P_{2s}^j \subset O_s$ and $\mathbb{F}_2\langle P_{2s}^j, O_s \mid j = 1, 2, 3 \rangle \subset \mathcal{P}(O_s)$ is isomorphic to the extended Hamming code of length 8 for $s = 1, 2, 3$. Let $C(5)$ be the subcode of $C(3)$ defined by $C(5) = \{c \in G_{24} \mid (P_1^j, c) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3\}$. We set $\Lambda(5) = L_B(C(5))$. Then it is easy to check that

$$|\Lambda/\Lambda(i)| = 2^{i-1}.$$

We now consider other expressions of the lattices $\Lambda(i)$. We set $D_1 = 2\Lambda$, $D_2 = \mathbb{Z}\langle 2\alpha_1, D_1 \rangle$, $D_3 = \mathbb{Z}\langle \alpha_{P_1^1}, D_2 \rangle$ and $D_5 = \mathbb{Z}\langle \alpha_{P_1^j}, D_3 \mid j = 2, 3 \rangle$. Then

$$\Lambda(i) = \{v \in \Lambda \mid \langle v, D_i \rangle \subset 2\mathbb{Z}\} = D_i^*/2. \quad (1.5)$$

Recall that an even lattice L is 2-elementary if the dual lattice $L^* = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \mid \langle \alpha, L \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a sublattice of $L/2$, and is totally even if $\sqrt{2}L^*$ is even.

Proposition 1.14. *The lattice $\Lambda(i)$ is 2-elementary totally even for $i = 1, 2, 3, 5$.*

Proof. By the inclusion $\Lambda(1) \supset \Lambda(2) \supset \Lambda(3) \supset \Lambda(5)$ and the unimodular property of $\Lambda(1)$, it suffices to consider $\Lambda(5)$. It is easy to see that

$$\Lambda(5)^* = \mathbb{Z}\langle \Lambda, \alpha_1, \frac{\alpha_{P_1^j}}{2} \mid j = 1, 2, 3 \rangle. \quad (1.6)$$

Hence $\Lambda(5)^*/\Lambda(5) \cong 2^8$. In particular $2\Lambda(5)^* \subset \Lambda(5)$. It is easy to see that the norms of the generators of $\Lambda(5)^*$ in (1.6) are integer and that their inner products are in $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Hence the norm of each vector of $\Lambda(5)^*$ is integer. Therefore $\Lambda(5)$ is 2-elementary totally even. $\square$

Let $O(L)$ denote the group of all linear automorphisms of L preserving the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For a sublattice N of a lattice L , we denote by $O(L; N)$ the subgroup of $O(L)$ consisting of all automorphisms preserving N . Let us study $O(\Lambda; \Lambda(i))$. By (1.5), $O(\Lambda; \Lambda(i)) = \{g \in O(\Lambda) \mid g(D_i) = D_i\}$. Let ρ be the canonical homomorphism from Λ to $\Lambda/2\Lambda$. Note that Co_1 acts faithfully on $\Lambda/2\Lambda$. In [ATLAS, Wi] the stabilizer of $\rho(D_i)$ in Co_1 is described. In particular, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 1.15. [ATLAS, Wi] *For $i = 2, 3, 5$, the group $O(\Lambda; \Lambda(i))$ is a maximal subgroup of $O(\Lambda)$ and its shape is given as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} O(\Lambda; \Lambda(2)) &\cong 2^{12} : M_{24}, \\ O(\Lambda; \Lambda(3)) &\cong 2^{5+12} \cdot (L_2(2) \times 3Sym_6), \\ O(\Lambda; \Lambda(5)) &\cong 2^{3+12} : (L_4(2) \times Sym_3). \end{aligned}$$

Let t_i be the canonical homomorphism $O(\Lambda; \Lambda(i)) \rightarrow GL(\Lambda/\Lambda(i)) \cong L_{i-1}(2)$. By the lemma above, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 1.16. *For each i , t_i is surjective and its kernel $\text{Ker } t_i$ is given as follows:*

$$\text{Ker } t_2 \cong 2^{12} : M_{24}, \text{Ker } t_3 \cong 2^{5+12} \cdot 3Sym_6, \text{Ker } t_5 \cong 2^{3+12} : Sym_3.$$

We consider other full sublattices of Λ . We recall fundamental automorphisms of Λ . For $c \in \Omega$, let ε_c denote the linear automorphism of $\mathbb{R}^{24}$ defined by setting

$$\varepsilon_c(\alpha_j) = \begin{cases} -\alpha_j & \text{if } j \in c, \\ \alpha_j & \text{if } j \notin c. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that ε_c is an automorphism of Λ if and only if c is in G_{24} . Fix an octad c of G_{24} and set

$$U^1 = \{v \in \Lambda \mid \varepsilon_c(v) = -v\}, \quad U^2 = \{v \in \Lambda \mid \varepsilon_c(v) = v\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Then $U = U^1 \oplus U^2$ is a sublattice of Λ . It is well known that $U^1 \cong \sqrt{2}E_8$ and $U^2 \cong \Lambda_{16}$, where Λ_{16} is the Barnes-Wall lattice of rank 16. It is easy to check that $\sqrt{2}E_8$ and Λ_{16} are 2-elementary totally even. The determinant of U is 2^{16} since that of U^i is 2^8 for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $|\Lambda/U| = 2^8$ and $|U/2\Lambda| = 2^{16}$. Furthermore the automorphism group of U is described as follows:

Lemma 1.17. *The automorphism group $O(U)$ of U is isomorphic to the direct product of the groups $O(U^1)$ and $O(U^2)$.*

Proof. We regard $O(U^i)$ as a subgroup of $O(U)$. Then $O(U) \supseteq O(U^1) \times O(U^2)$. Hence it suffices to show that $O(U)$ preserves both U^1 and U^2 . Since $\sqrt{2}E_8$ is indecomposable and $O(U)$ preserves the inner product, we have $g(U^1) = U^1$ or $g(U^1) \subset U^2$. If $g(U^1) \subset U^2$ then there exists a sublattice L of U^2 such that $U^2 = g(U^1) \oplus g(L)$, which contradicts the indecomposability of Λ_{16} . Therefore we have $g(U^1) = U^1$ and $g(U^2) = U^2$. $\square$

2 Isomorphisms between extensions of a VOA graded by a finite abelian group

Let A be a finite abelian group and let V be a simple A -graded VOA, namely $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha)$ and $u_n v \in V(\alpha + \beta)$ for any $u \in V(\alpha)$, $v \in V(\beta)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $V(\alpha)$ is a $V(0)$ -module for all $\alpha \in A$. In this article, we always assume that $V(\alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in A$. So the group A^* of all irreducible characters of A acts faithfully on V as automorphisms: for $\chi \in A^*$, $\chi(v) = \chi(\alpha)v$, $v \in V(\alpha)$. Clearly $V(\alpha)$ is an eigenspace of A^* for all $\alpha \in A$ and $V(0)$ is the fixed points of A^* . By [DM1], $V(\alpha)$, ($\alpha \in A$) are non-isomorphic and irreducible. The following theorem is a slight generalization of Proposition 5.3 in [DM2].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha)$ and $V' = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} V'(\alpha)$ be simple VOAs graded by a finite abelian group A . Suppose that $V(0) = V'(0)$ and that the fusion rule $V(\alpha) \times V(\beta) = V(\alpha + \beta)$ holds for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$. Let g be an automorphism of $V(0)$ which maps the set of isomorphism classes of $\{V(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in A\}$ to those of $\{V'(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in A\}$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\tilde{g}$ from V' to V such that $\tilde{g}|_{V(0)} = g$.*

Proof. For $\alpha \in A$, let φ_α be an isomorphism from $W = V(\alpha) \circ g$ to $V(\alpha)$ such that

$$\varphi_\alpha Y_W(v, z) = Y_{V(\alpha)}(gv, z) \varphi_\alpha$$

for $v \in V(0)$. We regard φ_α as a linear isomorphism from $V'(\beta)$ to $V(\alpha)$, where $V'(\beta) \cong V(\alpha) \circ g$. In particular we take $\varphi_{V(0)}$ as g . Then we obtain an isomorphism $\varphi_{V'} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \varphi_\alpha$ of V^0 -modules from V' to V . Set $\tilde{Y}_V(v, z) = \varphi_{V'} Y_{V'}(v, z) \varphi_{V'}^{-1}$, $v \in V(0)$. Then $\varphi_{V'}$ is an isomorphism of VOAs from $(V', Y_{V'})$ to $(V, \tilde{Y}_V)$.

Clearly $(V, \tilde{Y}_V)$ is a A -graded VOA: $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \tilde{V}(\alpha)$. Moreover $V(\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{V}(\alpha)$ as $V(0)$ -modules for all $\alpha \in A$. By Proposition 5.3 in [DM2], there exists an isomorphism ψ of VOAs from $(V, \tilde{Y}_V)$ to (V, Y_V) such that $\psi|_{V(0)}$ is the identity map. Therefore we obtain an isomorphism $\tilde{g} = \psi \circ \varphi$ of VOAs from V' to V such that $\tilde{g}|_{V(0)} = g$. $\square$

Let S_A be the set of the isomorphism classes of the irreducible $V(0)$ -modules $V(\alpha)$, ($\alpha \in A$). For an automorphism g of $V(0)$, we set $S_A \circ g = \{W \circ g \mid W \in S_A\}$. Then we obtain the restriction homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_A^N &: N_{\text{Aut}(V)}(A^*) \rightarrow H_A^N, \\ \Phi_A^C &: C_{\text{Aut}(V)}(A^*) \rightarrow H_A^C, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_A^N &= \{h \in \text{Aut}(V(0)) \mid S_A \circ h = S_A\}, \\ H_A^C &= \{h \in \text{Aut}(V(0)) \mid W \circ h = W \text{ for all } W \in S_A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 to the case $V = V'$, we show that Φ_A^N is surjective. Since each $V(\alpha)$ is irreducible, $\text{Ker } \Phi_A^N = A^*$. By similar arguments, Φ_A^C is surjective, and $\text{Ker } \Phi_A^C = A^*$. Hence we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. (cf. [Sh2, Theorem 3.3]) *Let $V = \oplus_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha)$ be a simple VOA graded by a finite abelian group A . Suppose that the fusion rule $V(\alpha) \times V(\beta) = V(\alpha + \beta)$ holds for all $\alpha, \beta \in A^*$. Then the restriction homomorphism Φ_A^N and Φ_A^C are surjective and $\text{Ker } \Phi_A^C = \text{Ker } \Phi_A^N = A^*$.*

By the corollary above, we obtain the following exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow A^* \rightarrow N_{\text{Aut}(V)}(A^*) \rightarrow H_A^N \rightarrow 1, \quad (2.1)$$

$$0 \rightarrow A^* \rightarrow C_{\text{Aut}(V)}(A^*) \rightarrow H_A^C \rightarrow 1. \quad (2.2)$$

This shows that the normalizer and centralizer of A^* in $\text{Aut}(V)$ are described in terms of $\text{Aut}(V(0))$.

3 Simple current extensions of V_L^+

Let L be the even lattice isomorphic to either $\sqrt{2}E_8$ or Λ_{16} . In this section, we classify VOAs containing V_L^+ as a full subVOA by using the symmetries of V_L^+ .

Since L is 2-elementary totally even, V_L^+ satisfies the conditions (a)-(c) in Section 1.1 by Lemma 1.6. Moreover, L has a 4-frame, an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ of norm 4, so V_L^+ is a framed VOA. In particular V_L^+ is rational by Theorem 2.12 in [DGH]. Let S_L be the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible V_L^+ -modules. Then S_L is an elementary abelian 2-group of order 2^{10} by Lemma 1.1 (1). Moreover S_L has a quadratic form q given in Proposition 1.7. Let V be a simple VOA containing V_L^+ as a full subVOA. Then by Proposition 1.1 (2), V is a simple current extension of V_L^+ : $V = \oplus_{W \in S_V} W$, where S_V is a subgroup of S_L . Clearly degrees of each element of S_V belong to the set of integers. Hence we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $V = \oplus_{W \in S_V} W$ be a simple current extension of V_L^+ . Then S_V is a totally singular subspace of S_L .*

Now we recall fundamental results on orthogonal groups (cf. [BCN, Theorem 9.4.3, Theorem 9.4.8]).

Proposition 3.2. *Let V be a 10-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$ with a non-singular quadratic form of plus type. Let $O(V)$ be the orthogonal group of degree 10 on V . For $0 \leq i \leq 5$, let $\mathcal{S}_i$ denote the set of all i -dimensional totally singular subspaces of V . Then the following hold:*

- (1) *For $0 \leq i \leq 5$, $O(V) \cong O^+(10, 2)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{S}_i$.*
- (2) *For $0 \leq i \leq 4$, the commutator subgroup $O(V)' \cong \Omega^+(10, 2)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{S}_i$.*
- (3) *$\mathcal{S}_5$ is decomposed into 2-orbits under the action of $O(V)'$.*

By Proposition 1.9 (3), Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 3.2, the number of isomorphism classes of simple current extensions of $V(0)$ is less than or equal to 6 if $L = \sqrt{2}E_8$, and 7 if $L = \Lambda_{16}$.

Let N be an even overlattice of L of index 2^j ($0 \leq j \leq 4$). Then V_N is a simple current extension of V_L^+ graded by an elementary abelian 2-group of order 2^{j+1} . We note that N is unique up to isomorphism for each j except that $E_8 \oplus E_8$ and Γ_{16} are non-isomorphic even overlattices of Λ_{16} of index 2^4 . Therefore we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let L be a lattice isomorphic to either $\sqrt{2}E_8$ or Λ_{16} .*

- (1) *Any simple VOA containing V_L^+ as a proper full subVOA is isomorphic to V_N , where N is an even overlattice of L . In particular the number of isomorphism classes of simple current extensions of V_L^+ is 6 if $L \cong \sqrt{2}E_8$, and 7 if $L \cong \Lambda_{16}$.*
- (2) *Let S_V be a subset of S_L . The V_L^+ -module $V = \bigoplus_{W \in S_V} \mu_W W$ has a VOA structure if and only if S_V is a totally singular subspace of S_L and $\mu_W = 1$ for all $W \in S_V$. In particular the VOA structure on V is unique up to isomorphism.*

4 Normalizers of elementary abelian 2-groups in the automorphism group of the moonshine module

In this section, we consider some subVOAs V_L^+ of the moonshine module $V^\natural$ and describe the normalizers in $G = \text{Aut}(V^\natural)$ of associated elementary abelian 2-groups in terms of $\text{Aut}(V_L^+)$. Moreover, we show that our normalizers form a Monster amalgam.

4.1 Decomposition of $V^\natural$ as irreducible V_L^+ -modules

Let L be a 2-elementary totally even full sublattice of the Leech lattice Λ . In this subsection, we decompose the moonshine module $V^\natural$ into irreducible V_L^+ -modules. Since VOA V_L^+ is a subVOA of V_Λ^+ , it suffices to decompose V_Λ^+ and $V_\Lambda^{T,-}$.

The following proposition is easy.

Proposition 4.1. *Let L be a 2-elementary totally even full sublattice of the Leech lattice Λ . Then the VOA V_Λ^+ decomposes into irreducible V_L^+ -modules as follows:*

$$V_\Lambda^+ \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda+L \in \Lambda/L} V_{\lambda+L}^+.$$

Now we decompose $V_\Lambda^{T,-}$ into V_L^+ -modules as in [Sh1].

Proposition 4.2. *Let L be a 2-elementary totally even full sublattice of the Leech lattice Λ . Then the V_Λ^+ -module $V_\Lambda^{T,-}$ decomposes into irreducible V_L^+ -modules as follows:*

$$V_\Lambda^{T,-} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda+L \in \Lambda/L} V_L^{T_{x_\lambda},-}.$$

Proof. In order to decompose $V_\Lambda^{T,-}$, we will decompose $\hat{\Lambda}/K_\Lambda$ -module T into $\hat{L}/K_L$ -modules. Set $N = 2L^*$. Then the center $Z(\hat{L}/K_\Lambda)$ of $\hat{L}/K_\Lambda$ is $\hat{N}/K_\Lambda$. We choose a maximal abelian subgroup A of $\hat{\Lambda}/K_\Lambda$ satisfying $\hat{N}/K_\Lambda \subset A \subset \hat{L}/K_\Lambda$. Then

$$T = \bigoplus_{\chi \in X(A)} \mathbb{C}_\chi \quad (4.1)$$

as irreducible A -modules, where $\mathbb{C}_\chi$ is a one-dimensional A -module with character χ and $X(A)$ is the set of all characters χ of A with $\chi(\kappa_\Lambda K_\Lambda) = -1$. Then $T = \bigoplus_{\chi \in X(\hat{L}/K_\Lambda)} m_\chi T_\chi$ as $\hat{L}/K_\Lambda$ -modules, where T_χ is the irreducible $\hat{L}/K_\Lambda$ -module with character χ and m_χ is its multiplicity. Since the components in the decomposition (4.1) are distinct A -modules, we have $m_\chi \in \{0, 1\}$. Let D be a complement to $\langle \kappa_\Lambda K_\Lambda \rangle$ in $\hat{N}/K_\Lambda$. Then $\hat{L}/D$ is isomorphic to the extraspecial 2-group of shape 2_+^{1+2l} , where $2^{2l} = |L/2L^*|$. We note that a faithful irreducible module of an extraspecial 2-group of shape 2_+^{1+2l} is unique up to isomorphism and that its dimension is 2^l . Then $\dim T_\chi = 2^l$ for $\chi \in X(\hat{L}/K_\Lambda)$. Moreover each character in $X(\hat{L}/K_\Lambda)$ corresponds to one of $N/2\Lambda$ by $\bar{D} = N/2\Lambda$. Since $|N/2\Lambda| = 2^{12-l}$ and $\dim T = 2^{12}$, we have $m_\chi = 1$ for all $\chi \in X(\hat{L}/K_\Lambda)$ by comparing the dimensions. For a character χ of $N/2\Lambda$, there exists a unique element λ of Λ/L such that $\chi(\cdot) = (-1)^{\langle \lambda, \cdot \rangle} = \chi_\lambda(\cdot)$. Since $\hat{N}/K_L \supset K_\Lambda/K_L$, we may view T_χ as a $\hat{L}/K_L$ -module. Hence we obtain the following decomposition of T as a $\hat{L}/K_L$ -module:

$$T \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda + L \in \Lambda/L} T_{\chi_\lambda},$$

where T_{χ_λ} is the irreducible $\hat{L}/K_L$ -module with central character χ_λ . Therefore we obtain the desired decomposition of $V_\Lambda^{T,-}$. $\square$

By the propositions above, Proposition 1.1 and Lemma 1.6, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 4.3. *Let L be a 2-elementary totally even full sublattice of the Leech lattice. Then $V^\natural$ is a simple current extension of V_L^+ graded by an abelian group of order 2^{m+1} , where $|L^*/L| = 2^{2m}$.*

4.2 Symmetries of the moonshine module associated with V_L^+ (I)

Let $\Lambda(i)$ be the 2-elementary totally even full sublattices of the Leech lattice Λ given in Section 1.4 for $i = 1, 2, 3, 5$. In this subsection, we consider the abelian automorphism group of $V^\natural$ associated with the fusion rules of $V_{\Lambda(i)}^+$, and describe its normalizer in the automorphism group G of the moonshine module $V^\natural$.

Let S_i be the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $V_{\Lambda(i)}^+$ -modules which appear in the decomposition of $V^\natural$ in Proposition 4.1 and 4.2. Then S_i forms an elementary abelian 2-group under the fusion rules of order 2^i and its dual S_i^* acts faithfully on $V^\natural$.

Let us study the centralizer $C_G(S_i^*)$ of S_i^* in G . We start by proving the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.4. *The centralizer $C_G(S_1^*)$ of S_1^* is equal to C .*

Proof. By Lemma 1.9 $\text{Aut}(V_\Lambda^+) = O(\hat{\Lambda})/\langle \theta_{V_\Lambda} \rangle$. Since the graded dimensions of V_Λ^- and $V_\Lambda^{T,\pm}$ are distinct, $H_{S_1^*}^C = \{g \in \text{Aut}(V_\Lambda^+) \mid V_\Lambda^- \circ g \cong V_\Lambda^-\} = \text{Aut}(V_\Lambda^+)$. By Corollary 2.2, (1.2) and (1.3) we obtain this lemma. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *For any i , the centralizers of S_i^* in C and G are the same: $C_C(S_i^*) = C_G(S_i^*)$.*

Proof. Let $g \in C_G(S_i^*)$. Then g commutes with $z_{V^\natural}$. Since $S_1^* = \langle z^\natural \rangle$ and $C = C_G(S_1^*)$, we obtain $g \in C$. The converse is obvious. $\square$

Let us describe the centralizer of S_i^* in C . Recall the canonical homomorphism $t_i : O(\Lambda; \Lambda(i)) \rightarrow GL(\Lambda/\Lambda(i))$. The image of $C_C(S_i^*)$ under the canonical map $C \rightarrow O(\Lambda)$ is contained in $\text{Ker } t_i$ since S_i^* preserves $V_{\lambda+\Lambda(i)}^\varepsilon$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda/\Lambda(i)$, $\varepsilon \in \{\pm\}$ by Proposition 1.9 (2). Since S_i^* is contained in the kernel of the homomorphism $\bar{\cdot}$, we obtain the homomorphism $C_C(S_i^*)/S_i^* \rightarrow \text{Ker } t_i$. Let us show that this homomorphism is surjective. For any element $g \in \text{Ker } t_i$, there exists $h_0 \in C$ such that $\bar{h}_0 = g$. Since h_0 preserves $V_{\Lambda(i)}^+$ and the decompositions in Proposition 4.1 and 4.2 are multiplicity free, $\{h_0(V_{\lambda+\Lambda(i)}^\varepsilon)\} = \{V_{\lambda+\Lambda(i)}^\varepsilon\}$. By Proposition 1.9 (2) and the fusion rules of V_L^+ , we can take $h_1 \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ such that $h_1 \circ h_0(V_{\lambda+\Lambda(i)}^\varepsilon) = V_{\lambda+\Lambda(i)}^\varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon \in \{\pm\}$. Let λ be an element of L^*/L such that $h_1 \circ h_0(V_{\Lambda(i)}^{T_{\chi_0}, \varepsilon}) = V_{\Lambda(i)}^{T_{\chi_\lambda}, \varepsilon}$. Then $h_1 \circ h_0(V_{\Lambda(i)}^{T_{\chi_\mu}, \varepsilon}) = V_{\Lambda(i)}^{T_{\chi_{\mu+\lambda}, \varepsilon}}$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda/L$. By Proposition 1.9 (2) there exists h_2 such that $h_2 \circ h_1 \circ h_0$ preserves all $V_{\Lambda(i)}^{T, \chi_\mu}$. Hence $h = h_2 \circ h_1 \circ h_0 \in C_C(S_i^*)$ and $\bar{h} = g$, and the homomorphism is surjective.

Let $f_\beta \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_2) \subset O(\hat{\Lambda})$. Set $U_i^* = S_i^*/\langle z_{V^\natural} \rangle$. Then f_β commutes U_i^* if and only if β belongs to $\Lambda(i)$ by Proposition 1.9 (2), namely $f_\beta(2\Lambda(i)^*) = 0$. Then we obtain the sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow F_i/U_i^* \rightarrow C_C(S_i^*)/S_i^* \rightarrow \text{Ker } t_i \rightarrow 1, \quad (4.2)$$

where $F_i = \{f \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_2) \mid f(2\Lambda(i)^*) = 0\}$. Since the sequence (1.3) is exact, the kernel of the homomorphism $\bar{\cdot}$ is F_i/U_i^* . Thus the sequence (4.2) is also exact. By Lemma 1.16 we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.6. *For $i = 1, 2, 3, 5$, the sequence (4.2) is exact. In particular, the shapes of the centralizers are described as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} C_G(S_1^*) &\cong 2.2^{24}.Co_1, & C_G(S_2^*) &\cong 2^2 2^{22}.2^{11}.M_{24}, \\ C_G(S_3^*) &\cong 2^3.2^{20}.2^{4+12}.3Sym_6, & C_G(S_5^*) &\cong 2^5.2^{16}.2^{2+12}.Sym_3. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we discuss the normalizer $N_G(S_i^*)$ of S_i^* in G . Let us consider $N_C(S_i^*)$. Since $V_{\Lambda(i)}^+$ is the set of fixed points of S_i^* , the image of $\bar{\cdot}$ of $N_C(S_i^*)$ is contained in $O(\Lambda; \Lambda(i))$. By Proposition 1.9 (2) $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ preserves the set of components in Proposition 4.1 and 4.2. Hence $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_2) \subset N_C(S_i^*)$. Thus we obtain the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_2)/U_i^* \rightarrow N_C(S_i^*)/S_i^* \rightarrow O(\Lambda; \Lambda(i)) \rightarrow 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Lemma 4.7. (1) *The sequence (4.3) is exact.*

(2) *The shape of $N_C(S_i^*)/C_C(S_i^*)$ is $2^{i-1}.L_{i-1}(2)$.*

Proof. By similar arguments on the case of $C_C(S_i^*)$, the group homomorphism $\bar{\cdot}$ in (4.3) is surjective. Since (1.3) is exact, the kernel of the homomorphism $\bar{\cdot}$ in (4.3) is $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_2)/U_i^*$. Hence the sequence (4.3) is exact.

It is easy to see that $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_2)/F_i \cong 2^{i-1}$. By Proposition 1.15, the canonical homomorphism $t_i : O(\Lambda; \Lambda(i)) \rightarrow GL(\Lambda/\Lambda(i)) \cong L_{i-1}(2)$ is surjective. Comparing the sequences (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain $N_C(S_i^*)/C_C(S_i^*) \cong 2^{i-1}.L_{i-1}(2)$. This completes (2). $\square$

Theorem 4.8. *For $i = 1, 2, 3, 5$, the sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow C_G(S_i^*) \rightarrow N_G(S_i^*) \rightarrow L_i(2) \rightarrow 1$$

is exact. In particular, the shapes of $N_G(S_i^)$ are described as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} N_G(S_1^*) &\cong 2^{1+24}.Co_1, & N_G(S_2^*) &\cong (2^2.2^{22}.2^{11}.M_{24}).L_2(2), \\ N_G(S_3^*) &\cong (2^3.2^{20}.2^{4+12}.3Sym_6).L_3(2), & N_G(S_5^*) &\cong (2^5.2^{16}.2^{2+12}.Sym_3).L_5(2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The assertion is clear when $i = 1$. So we assume that $i \neq 1$. By Lemma 4.5, Proposition 4.6 and Lemma 4.7 (2), we obtain a subgroup of $N_G(S_i^*)/C_G(S_i^*) \cong L_i(2)$ of shape $2^{i-1}.L_{i-1}(2)$. We note that this subgroup is maximal in $GL(S_i^*) \cong L_i(2)$. On the other hand, $N_G(S_i^*)$ has automorphisms not in $C_G(S_i^*)$ by Proposition 1.8. Hence $N_G(S_i^*)/C_G(S_i^*) \cong L_i(2)$. $\square$

Note 4.9. In the group theory, it was known that S_i^* is $2B$ -pure in the Monster for $i = 1, 2, 3, 5$ and that $N_G(S_i^*)$ is a maximal 2-local subgroup of the Monster.

4.3 Symmetries of the moonshine module associated with V_L^+ (II)

In this subsection, we consider a subVOA V of $V^\natural$ isomorphic to $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}^+ \otimes V_{\Lambda_{16}}^+$. We decompose $V^\natural$ into irreducible V -modules and consider the automorphism group of $V^\natural$ of shape 2^{10} . Then we describe the normalizer in $G = \text{Aut}(V^\natural)$ of this elementary abelian 2-group. Throughout this subsection, k is an element of $\{1, 2\}$.

Recall the sublattice $U = U^1 \oplus U^2$ of the Leech lattice Λ associated with an octad c , where $U^1 \cong \sqrt{2}E_8$ and $U^2 \cong \Lambda_{16}$ (see (1.7)). Then $U^* = (U^1)^* \oplus (U^2)^*$. For a vector λ of U^* , we denote $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$, $\lambda_k \in (U^k)^*$. It is easy to see that the fixed point subVOA V of V_U^+ with respect to a lift of the automorphism ε_c of Λ is $V_{U^1}^+ \otimes V_{U^2}^+$.

Let us study the properties of V . By Proposition 4.7.4 in [FHL], any irreducible $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}^+ \otimes V_{\Lambda_{16}}^+$ -module is isomorphic to $M_1 \otimes M_2$ for some irreducible $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}^+$ -module M_1 and irreducible $V_{\Lambda_{16}}^+$ -module M_2 . By Theorem 2.8 in [ADL], the following fusion rules hold:

$$(M_1 \otimes M_4) \times (M_2 \otimes M_5) = M_3 \otimes M_6 \quad (4.4)$$

where M_1, M_2 and M_4, M_5 are irreducible modules for $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}^+$ and $V_{\Lambda_{16}}^+$ respectively, and $M_1 \times M_2 = M_3$ and $M_4 \times M_5 = M_6$. Since U^i is 2-elementary totally even, V satisfies the conditions (a)-(c) in Section 1.1 by Lemma 1.6. Moreover, $V_{U^k}^+$ is rational since $V_{U^k}^+$ is a framed VOA. Hence, by Proposition 1.1 $V^\natural$ is a simple current extension of V . More precisely, $V^\natural$ is decomposed into irreducible V -modules as follows:

Lemma 4.10. *The V -modules V_Λ^+ and $V_\Lambda^{T,-}$ decompose into irreducible V -modules as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} V_\Lambda^+ &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda+U \in \Lambda/U} (V_{\lambda_1+U^1}^+ \otimes V_{\lambda_2+U^2}^+ \oplus V_{\lambda_1+U^1}^- \otimes V_{\lambda_2+U^2}^-). \\ V_\Lambda^{T,-} &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda+U \in \Lambda/U} (V_{U^1}^{T_{\chi_{\lambda_1}},+} \otimes V_{U^2}^{T_{\chi_{\lambda_2}},-} \oplus V_{U^1}^{T_{\chi_{\lambda_1}},-} \otimes V_{U^2}^{T_{\chi_{\lambda_2}},+}) \end{aligned}$$

where χ_{λ_k} is the irreducible character of $\langle (U^k)^*, 2\Lambda \rangle / 2\Lambda$ defined by $\chi_{\lambda_k}(\gamma) = (-1)^{\langle \lambda_k, \gamma \rangle}$ for $\gamma \in U^k$.

Proof. Applying Proposition 4.1 and 4.2 to $V^\natural$ and V_{U^+} , we obtain the decomposition of $V^\natural$ into irreducible $V_{U^+}^+$ -modules. Let $\theta_{V_L^T}$ denote the involution of V_L^T induced by θ_{V_L} . Since $\theta_{V_\Lambda} = \theta_{V_{U^1}} \otimes \theta_{V_{U^2}}$ and $\theta_{V_\Lambda^T} = \theta_{V_{U^1}^T} \otimes \theta_{V_{U^2}^T}$ we obtain the desired decomposition. $\square$

Let S_{10} be the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible V -modules which appear in Lemma 4.10. Then by Proposition 1.5 and (4.4) S_{10} forms an elementary abelian 2-group of order 2^{10} under the fusion rules. So, we obtain an elementary abelian 2-subgroup S_{10}^* of G .

We define a quadratic form q on S_{10} by setting $q(W) = q_{U^1}(W_1)$ for $W = W_1 \otimes W_2 \in S_{10}$, where q_{U^1} is a quadratic form given in Proposition 1.7 and W_k is the isomorphism class of an irreducible $V_{U^k}^+$ -module. We note that $q_{U^1}(W_1) = q_{U^2}(W_2)$ for $W = W_1 \otimes W_2 \in S_{10}$. Counting singular elements of S_{10} , we see that the type of q is plus. Since the value of q depends only on the graded dimensions, the action of $N_G(S_{10}^*)$ on S_{10} preserves the quadratic form q . Hence $N_G(S_{10}^*)/C_G(S_{10}^*) \subset O^+(10, 2)$.

Let $\Phi_{S_{10}}^N$ and $\Phi_{S_{10}}^C$ be the restriction homomorphisms from $N_G(S_{10}^*)$ to $H_{S_{10}}^N$ and from $C_G(S_{10}^*)$ to $H_{S_{10}}^C$, where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{S_{10}}^N &= \{g \in \text{Aut}(V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}^+ \otimes V_{\Lambda_{16}}^+) \mid S_{10} \circ g = S_{10}\}, \\ H_{S_{10}}^C &= \{g \in \text{Aut}(V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}^+ \otimes V_{\Lambda_{16}}^+) \mid W \circ g = W \text{ for all } W \in S_{10}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.2, the homomorphisms $\Phi_{S_{10}}^N$ and $\Phi_{S_{10}}^C$ are surjective and $\text{Ker } \Phi_{S_{10}}^N = \text{Ker } \Phi_{S_{10}}^C = S_{10}^*$. Moreover, $H_{S_{10}}^N/H_{S_{10}}^C \cong N_G(S_{10}^*)/C_G(S_{10}^*)$. The following lemma will be needed to determine $H_{S_{10}}^N$ and $H_{S_{10}}^C$.

Lemma 4.11. *The automorphism group of $V_{U^1}^+ \otimes V_{U^2}^+$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(V_{U^1}^+) \times \text{Aut}(V_{U^2}^+)$. In particular, its shape is $O^+(10, 2) \times 2^{16} \cdot \Omega^+(10, 2)$.*

Proof. We set $\tilde{H} = \text{Aut}(V_{U^1}^+ \otimes V_{U^2}^+)$ and $H = \text{Aut}(V_{U^1}^+) \times \text{Aut}(V_{U^2}^+)$. Clearly $H \subset \tilde{H}$.

First we consider the stabilizer K of the isomorphism class of $V_{U^1}^- \otimes V_{U^2}^-$ in $\tilde{H}$. It is easy to see that $\{\lambda + U \in U^*/U \mid \#(\lambda + U)_2 = 48\} = \emptyset$. By Proposition 1.9 (1), we have $\text{Aut}(V_U^+) = O(\hat{U})/\langle \theta_{V_U} \rangle$. Since $V_U^+ = V_{U^1}^+ \otimes V_{U^2}^+ \oplus V_{U^1}^- \otimes V_{U^2}^-$, we have $K = O(\hat{U})/\langle \theta_{V_{U^1}}, \theta_{V_{U^2}} \rangle$ by Proposition 2.2. By Lemma 1.17, $K \subset H$.

Next we determine the orbit Q of $V_{U^1}^- \otimes V_{U^2}^-$ under the action of $\tilde{H}$. Let S_{U^k} be the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible $V_{U^k}^+$ -modules. By Proposition 1.9 (4), S_{U^k} is decomposed into 3-orbits $\{[0]^+\}, Q_{U^k}, R_{U^k}$ under the action of $\text{Aut}(V_{U^k}^+)$. We assume that $V_{U^k}^-$ belongs to Q_{U^k} . It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(V_{U^1}^-) &\in 8q + q^2\mathbb{Z}[[q]], \quad \text{ch}(W_1) \in q^{1/2} + q^{3/2}\mathbb{Z}[[q]], \\ \text{ch}(V_{U^2}^-) &\in 16q + q^2\mathbb{Z}[[q]], \quad \text{ch}(W_2) \in 256q^{3/2} + q^{5/2}\mathbb{Z}[[q]], \end{aligned}$$

where $W_k \in R_{U^k}$. Comparing the graded dimensions, we have $Q \subset \{W_1 \otimes W_2 \mid W_k \in Q_{U^k}\}$. Since $\text{Aut}(V_{U^k}^+)$ acts transitively on Q_{U^k} , we have $Q = \{W_1 \otimes W_2 \mid W_k \in Q_{U^k}\}$. In particular, $|Q| = |Q_{U^1}| \times |Q_{U^2}| = 527^2$ and $|\tilde{H} : K| = 527^2$.

On the other hand, we see that $|H : K| = 527^2$. Thus $\tilde{H} = H$. $\square$

Theorem 4.12. *The normalizer $N_G(S_{10}^*)$ is of shape $2^{10+16} \cdot \Omega^+(10, 2)$ and the centralizer $C_G(S_{10}^*)$ is of shape 2^{10+16} .*

Proof. By Proposition 1.9 (4) and Lemma 4.11, $H_{S_{10}}^C \cong 2^{16}$. Hence $C_G(S_{10}^*) \cong 2^{10+16}$. Let us determine $H_{S_{10}}^N$. Let S_{U^k} denote the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible $V_{U^k}^+$ -modules. Then any element of S_{U^k} ($k = 1, 2$) appears only once in S_{10} . So, the map $S_{U^1} \rightarrow S_{U^2}$, $W_1 \mapsto W_2$ ($W_1 \otimes W_2 \in S_{10}$) is well-defined and bijective. Let $g \in \text{Aut}(V_{U^1}^+ \otimes V_{U^2}^+)$. By Lemma 4.11, $g = g_1 g_2$ for some $g_k \in \text{Aut}(V_{U^k}^+)$. Then g is in $H_{S_{10}}^N$ if and only if the actions of g_k on S_{U^k} ($k = 1, 2$) are the same with respect to the bijection above. This shows that $H_{S_{10}}^N/H_{S_{10}}^C \cong \Omega^+(10, 2)$ by Lemma 4.11. Hence $N_G(S_{10}) \cong 2^{10+16} \cdot \Omega^+(10, 2)$. $\square$

Note 4.13. In the previous and this section, we have described five normalizers of elementary abelian 2-subgroups of G containing z_{V^*} . According to [Me, MS], these normalizers are only maximal 2-local subgroups of the Monster such that the center contains $2B$ elements.

4.4 Monster amalgam

In this subsection, we show that the set of the groups $\{N_G(S_1^*), N_G(S_2^*), N_G(S_3^*)\}$ forms a Monster amalgam.

We start by recalling the definition of a Monster amalgam from Chapter 5 in [Iv]. We refer to Chapter 1 of [Iv] for the definition of amalgams. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{G_1, G_2, G_3\}$ be an amalgam of rank 3, put $Q_i = O_2(G_i)$, $\bar{G}_i = G_i/Q_i$, $G_{ij} = G_i \cap G_j$, $G_{123} = G_1 \cap G_2 \cap G_3$, $Z_1 = Z(Q_1)$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a *Monster amalgam* if the following hold:

- (i) Q_1 is an extraspecial group of order 2^{25} ;

- (ii) $\bar{G}_1 \cong Co_1$ acts on Q_1/Z_1 as it acts on the Leech lattice $\bar{\Lambda}$ modulo 2;
- (iii) G_{123} contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_1 ;
- (iv) $\bar{G}_2 \cong Sym_3 \times M_{24}$ and $\bar{G}_3 \cong L_3(2) \times 3 \cdot Sym_6$;
- (v) $[G_2 : G_{12}] = 3$; $[G_3 : G_{23}] = [G_3 : G_{13}] = 7$; $[G_3 : G_{123}] = 21$;
- (vi) for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, we have $Q_i \cap Q_j \neq Q_i$.

Set $H_i = N_G(S_i^*)$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the amalgam $\{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$.

Theorem 4.14. *The set $\mathcal{H}$ forms a Monster amalgam. In particular the group $\langle H_i \mid i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle$ is isomorphic to the Monster simple group.*

Proof. By using the description of the shapes of H_i in Theorem 4.8, we will show that $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the conditions (i)-(vi). Set $R_i = O_2(H_i)$, $\bar{H}_i = H_i/R_i$, $H_{ij} = H_i \cap H_j$, $H_{123} = H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3$ and $Z = Z(H_1)$.

First we consider the group H_1 . Since H_1/S_1^* is the automorphism group of V_Λ^+ , $\bar{H}_1 \cong Co_1$ acts on H_1/S_1^* as it acts on the Leech lattice $\bar{\Lambda}$ modulo 2. In particular, this action is irreducible. Since the shape of R_1 is $2 \cdot 2^{24}$, $Z(R_1) = S_1^*$ or R_1 . On the other hand, in [Sh1] an automorphism in R_1 of order 4 commuting with S_1^* is described in terms of V_L^+ . This shows that R_1 is an extraspecial 2-group, and (i) follows. Since $Z(R_1) = S_1^*$, (ii) also follows.

Next, we consider H_{ij} and H_{123} . The inclusion $S_1^* \subset S_2^*$ shows that $C_G(S_2^*) \subset H_{12}$. Recall that $H_2/C_G(S_2^*) = GL(S_2^*) \cong L_2(2) \cong Sym_3$. Since H_{12} is the stabilizer of S_1^* in the action of H_2 on S_2^* , we obtain $H_{12}/C_G(S_2^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence we obtain $[H_2 : H_{12}] = 3$. Similarly, for $i = 1, 2$ the inclusion $S_i^* \subset S_3^*$ shows that $C_G(S_3^*) \subset H_{i3}$. Recall that $H_3/C_G(S_3^*) = GL(S_3^*) \cong L_3(2)$. For $i = 1, 2$ the group H_{i3} is the stabilizer of S_i^* in H_3 , which shows that $[H_3 : H_{i3}] = 7$. Since H_{123} is the stabilizer of both S_1^* and S_3^* in H_3 , we obtain $[H_3 : H_{123}] = 21$. Hence (v) follows. Moreover the shape of H_{123} is $(2^3 \cdot 2^{20} \cdot (2^{4+12} \cdot 3Sym_6)) \cdot 2^3$. In particular a Sylow subgroup of H_{123} is also one of H_1 , and (iii) holds.

By the shape of H_i , we obtain $|R_1| = 2^{25}$, $|R_2| = 2^{35}$ and $|R_3| = 2^{39}$. Moreover $R_1 \cap R_2 \cong 2^2 \cdot 2^{22}$, $R_1 \cap R_3 \cong 2^3 \cdot 2^{20}$ and $R_2 \cap R_3 \cong 2^3 \cdot 2^{20} \cdot 2^{10}$. Hence (vi) follows.

Finally let us consider $\bar{H}_i$. By the shape of H_i , we obtain $\bar{H}_2 \cong M_{24} \cdot Sym_3$ and $\bar{H}_3 \cong 3Sym_6 \cdot L_3(2)$. Let K_2 denote the subgroup of all elements of $\bar{H}_2$ acting trivially on M_{24} . Since the Schur multiplicity of M_{24} is 1, K_2 is isomorphic to Sym_3 and is complement to the subgroup of shape M_{24} . Hence $\bar{H}_2 \cong M_{24} \times Sym_3$. Let K_3 denote the subgroup of all elements of $\bar{H}_3$ acting trivially on $3Sym_6$ and let I denote the normal subgroup of $\bar{H}_3$ of shape $3Sym_6$. Then $K_3 \cap I = Z(I)$. Since K_3 is normal in $\bar{H}_3$, so is IK_3/I in $\bar{H}_3/I$. By Lemma 1.15 and (4.3), K_3 contains a subgroup of shape Sym_3 . Since $L_3(2)$ is simple, $Z(I)K_3/Z(I)$ is isomorphic to $L_3(2)$ and is complement to the subgroup of shape $3Sym_6$. Hence $\bar{H}_3 \cong L_3(2) \times 3Sym_6$. Thus (iv) follows. Therefore $\mathcal{H}$ is a Monster amalgam. By Proposition 5.15.1 in [Iv] $\langle H_i \mid i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle$ is isomorphic to the Monster. $\square$

References

- [ATLAS] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, Atlas of finite groups, Oxford, Oxford University Press 1985.
- [Ab] T. Abe, Fusion rules for the charge conjugation orbifold, *J. Algebra*, **242** (2001), 624–655.
- [AD] T. Abe and C. Dong, Classification of irreducible modules for the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ : general case. *J. Algebra* **273** (2004), 657–685
- [ADL] T. Abe, C. Dong and H. Li, Fusion rules for the vertex operator algebras $M(1)^+$ and V_L^+ , *Comm. Math. Phys.* **253** (2005), 171–219.
- [Bo] R.E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **83** (1986), 3068–3071.
- [BCN] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, Distance-Regular Graphs, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [CS] J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere packings, lattices and groups, 3rd Edition, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [DGH] C. Dong, R.L. Griess, and G. Höhn, Framed vertex operator algebras, codes and Moonshine module, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **193** (1998), 407–448.
- [DM1] C. Dong and G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), 305–321
- [DM2] C. Dong and G. Mason, Rational vertex operator algebras and the effective central charge, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **56** (2004), 2989–3008.
- [DN] C. Dong and K. Nagatomo, Representations of vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for rank one lattice L , *Comm. Math. Phys.* **202** (1999), 169–195.
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y. Huang, J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1993).
- [FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex operator algebras and the Monster, *Pure and Appl. Math.*, Vol.134, Academic Press, Boston, 1989.
- [Gr] R.L. Griess, Friendly Giant, *Invent. Math.* **78** (1984), pp. 491–499.
- [Iv] A.A. Ivanov, Geometry of sporadic groups. I. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **76**. Cambridge University Press, 1999
- [MN] A. Matsuo and K. Nagatomo, A note on free bosonic vertex algebra and its conformal vectors, *J. Algebra* **212** (1999), 395–418.

- [Me] U. Meierfrankenfeld, The maximal 2-local subgroups of the Monster and Baby Monster, II, preprint.
- [MS] U. Meierfrankenfeld and S. Shpectorov, Maximal 2-local subgroups of the Monster and Baby Monster, preprint.
- [Mi] M. Miyamoto, Griess algebras and conformal vectors in vertex operator algebra, *J. Algebra* **179** (1996), 528–548.
- [Sh1] H. Shimakura, Decomposition of the Moonshine module with respect to sub-VOAs associated to codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$, *J. Algebra* **251** (2002), 308–322.
- [Sh2] H. Shimakura, The automorphism group of the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for an even lattice L without roots, *J. Algebra* **280** (2004), 29–57.
- [Sh3] H. Shimakura, The automorphism groups of the vertex operator algebras V_L^+ : general case, *Math. Z.* **252** (2006), 849 - 862.
- [Wi] R.A. Wilson, The maximal subgroups of Conway’s group Co_1 , *J. Algebra* **85** (1983), 144–165.